## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF MINNESOTA

Lieutenant Medaria Arradondo,
et al.,

Plaintiffs,

v.

**ORDER** 

Civil No. 07-4736 (MJD/SRN)

City of Minneapolis, the Minneapolis Police Department, and Timothy Dolan, as an individual,

Defendants.

\_\_\_\_\_\_

John A. Klassen, John A. Klassen, P.A. and Andrew P. Muller, Muller & Muller, PLLC for and on behalf of Plaintiffs.

James A. Moore, Assistant City Attorney for and on behalf of Defendants.

This matter is before the Court on Defendants' motion to strike portions of the Complaint. The Defendants argue that portions of the Complaint contain allegations that are impertinent, scandalous and have no bearing on the lawsuit and will prejudice the Defendants.

Rule 12(f) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure provide "The court may strike from a pleading an insufficient defense or any redundant, immaterial, impertinent, or scandalous matter."

CASE 0:07-cv-04736-MJD-SRN Document 15 Filed 01/31/08 Page 2 of 2

Courts have liberal discretion in deciding whether pleadings or a portion

thereof should be stricken. Stanbury Law Firm v. I.R.S., 221 F.3d 1059, 1063 (8th

Cir. 2000). "Despite this broad discretion however, striking a party's pleadings is

an extreme measure, and, as a result, we have previously held that '[m]otions to

strike under Fed. R. Civ. P. 12(f) are viewed with disfavor and are infrequently

granted." <u>Id.</u> (quotation omitted).

Given the standard to be applied, Defendants' burden is very high. The

Court has reviewed the entire Complaint and finds that Defendants have not met

their burden for granting the extreme relief requested.

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that Defendants' Motion to Strike [Doc. No. 5] is

DENIED. All nondispositive matters are hereby referred to Magistrate Judge

Susan Richard Nelson.

Date: January 31, 2008

s / Michael J. Davis

Michael J. Davis

**United States District Court** 

2